

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/763,339	01/26/2004	Shawn R. Feaster	034047.003.1 (00-23)	7108	
53502	7590 05/12/2006		EXAMINER		
	THE STAFF JUDGE	SHEN, BIN			
U.S. ARMY N 504 SCOTT S	MED. RESEARCH & M. STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ATTN: MCMR-JA (MS. ELIZABETH ARWINE) FORT DETRICK, MD 21702-5012			1655		

DATE MAILED: 05/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application I	No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/763,339		FEASTER ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit	·				
		Bin Shen		1655					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-36,38 and 39</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)[6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠	Claim(s) 29-36, 38,39 are subject to restricti	on and/or election	n requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
				•					
Attachment(s)									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)		nterview Summary (PTO-413) aper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0r No(s)/Mail Date	Notice of Informal Pa		D-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/763,339

Art Unit: 1655

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 29, 30, 35, 38 and 39, drawn to a device, classified in class 435, subclass 19.
- II. Claims 31-34, drawn to a kit, classified in class 435, subclass 19.
- III. Claim 36, drawn to a database, classified in class
 707, subclass 1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The inventions of Groups I and III, Groups II and III are each directed to unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the database of Group III is not disclosed as capable of use together because a database needs to be operated on a computer, has different structure and design, and different effects. Device/kit comprise many method steps while a database is a large collection of organized data. Therefore, the Groups I and III, Groups II and III are each distinct.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Moreover, the search required is distinct based on the distinct structures and mode of operation as noted above. Thus, to search the database with the

Art Unit: 1655

device/kit would be unduly burdensome. Therefore, Group I and Group II are properly restricted from Group III as being distinct and unduly burdensome to be searched together.

The device of Group I, and the kit of Group II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, the device of Group I can be used to detect enzyme activity without the kit of Group II. See MPEP \$ 806.05(d). Furthermore, the kit of Group II has a materially different design such as a chromogenic substrate as evidence by the claim thus has a different mode of detection.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purpose as indicated is proper. While Groups I and II can be identically classified under U.S. Patent Classification guidelines, to search them together would present a search burden on the Examiner. For example, claims in Group I, drawn to a device, must be search not only in the field of protein/enzyme, but also in textual database of handheld device system. Thus, Groups I and II have been appropriately restricted on the basis of being both independent or distinct and presenting a search burden on the Examiner if they were to be searched together.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/763,339

Art Unit: 1655

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Art Unit 1636 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)). The official fax telephone number for the Group is 571-273-8300. NOTE: If Applicant does

Application/Control Number: 10/763,339

Art Unit: 1655

submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

Any inquiry concerning rejections or objections in this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

Art Unit: 1655

be directed to Bin Shen, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-9040. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from about 9:00 AM to about 5:30 PM. A phone message left at this number will be responded to as soon as possible (i.e., shortly after the examiner returns to her office).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Terry McKelvey can be reached at (571) 272-0775.

B Shen

Patent Examiner Art Unit 1655 Remsen 4D68 571-272-9040

MICHAEL MELLER PRIMARY EXAMINER